

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,486	POSCHALKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RACHEL KAHN	1766	

All Participants:

(1) RACHEL KAHN

(2) Bryan Davidson

Date of Interview: 1 March 2011

Status of Application: 30

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/RACHEL KAHN/
Examiner, Art Unit 1766

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed issues with regard to the claims submitted 2/16/11. Agreed that in the claims as amended, p in claims 30 and 32 needed to be amended to be consistent with claim 29. Also agreed that index m in claims 30 and 32 was already 2, so the recitation that $m=2-4$ was unnecessary. Applicant agreed to file a supplemental amendment. .